



ORCID of JARH: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0723-9485>

DOI Number of the Paper: <https://zenodo.org/records/19582424>

Link of the Paper: <https://jar.bwo-researches.com/index.php/jarh/article/view/252>

Edition Link: [Journal of Academic Research for Humanities JARH, 4\(1\) Jun-March 2024](#)

HJRS Link: [Journal of Academic Research for Humanities JARH \(HEC-Recognised for 2023-2024\)](#)

Parental Internship Module (PIM): A Comprehensive Framework for Restoring Natural Learning Hierarchies from Early Childhood to Professional Career

Corresponding & Author 1: Syed Aftab Alam, BWO Research International (15162394 Canada Inc.), Markham, ON, Canada, dr.aalam@bwo-researches.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6517-0778>

Paper Information

Citation of the paper:

(JARH) Alam, A. S. (2024). Parental Internship Module (PIM): A Comprehensive Framework for Restoring Natural Learning Hierarchies from Early Childhood to Professional Career. In *Journal of Academic Research for Humanities*, 4(1), 181–186.

Subject Areas for JARH:

- 1 Parental Apprenticeship
- 2 Career Formation

Timeline of the Paper at JARH:

Received on: 11-01-2024.
Reviews Completed on: 16-03-2024.
Accepted on: 29-03-2024.
Online on: 31-03-2024.

License:



[Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License](#)

Recognised for BWO-R:



Published by BWO Research INTL:



DOI Image of the paper:

DOI [10.5281/zenodo.15649213](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15649213)

QR Code for the Paper:



Abstract

In the contemporary era, people frequently assume that parenting and professional mentoring are separate domains. However, human biological development requires a continuous, unified learning environment. Modern educational and internship models suffer from a fundamental structural flaw: the separation between the learner and the mentor is artificial, temporary, and lacks natural emotional investment. This causes severe psychological fragmentation in early childhood and catastrophic graduate unemployment globally. This comprehensive research paper merges two critical phases of the Parental Internship Module (PIM) within the Alam Educational Framework (AEF). Phase One focuses on early childhood (ages 0–7), establishing parents as the primary formal educators. Phase Two addresses the global internship crisis by proposing that parents, guardians, or nominated mentors serve as primary professional internship supervisors for young adults. By leveraging biological bonds, natural work hierarchies, and unmatched parental dedication, the PIM provides a lifelong solution to skill mismatch, the forgetting curve, and global unemployment.

Keywords: Parents, Internship, PIM, Natural Learning, Childhood, Youth, Skill, Love & Respect, Parental Bond

1. Introduction

Human beings are a combination of two elements: the 'Self' and the 'Body'. The 'Self' is the centre of consciousness, and it requires in-time, effective knowledge to flourish. If the educational framework is in line with the natural needs of human biological and cognitive development, the 'Self' of the learner grows comprehensively (Geary, 2008; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2015).

Despite near-universal adoption across educational systems, traditional internships increasingly fail to deliver their promised outcomes, employability, skill transfer, and career readiness (Downs et al., 2024). They are contributing to widespread graduate unemployment, underemployment, and skill mismatch. This is the Internship Paradox. The current internship model suffers from a structural flaw because the separation between the learner and the mentor is artificial, temporary, and devoid of the natural accountability, emotional investment, and long-term commitment that characterise effective skill transmission (Downs et al., 2024).

The Parental Internship Module (PIM) proposes that parents, guardians, or parent-nominated mentors serve as the primary internship supervisors. PIM leverages biological bonds, natural work hierarchies, and unmatched dedication to transform internship effectiveness (Bandura, 1977; Geary, 2008). This paper asks: What empirical evidence demonstrates the failure of current models, and how does the PIM address these failures to improve learning retention and employment?

2. The Global Internship Crisis: A Multi-Country Analysis of Structural Failure

2.1. The Developing Country Catastrophe

In Pakistan, the youth unemployment crisis is severe. Over 31% of young graduates are unemployed, with advanced degree holders often the worst affected (Pakistan Institute of Development Economics [PIDE], 2024). Youth unemployment has climbed to 9.6%. Consequently, over 727,000 Pakistanis sought

overseas employment in 2024 alone, causing a massive brain drain. The International Labour Organisation confirms that youth unemployment in Pakistan remains considerable (International Labour Organisation [ILO], 2024). Gallup Pakistan reveals that unemployment continues to rise despite expanding labour force participation.

In India, the collapse of graduate employability is alarming. The India Skills Report 2025 shows that only 54.8% of graduates are considered employable. The Mercer | Mettl India Graduate Skill Index 2025 estimates that only 42.6% of graduates possess job-ready skills (Mercer | Mettl, 2025). The Economic Survey 2024 found that nearly half of India's youth are not employable despite holding degrees, and 44.5% of Indians aged 20–24 are unemployed.

2.2. The Developed World Failure

In Canada, less than 50% of apprentices complete their programs, and two in five apprentices discontinue their programs within 1.5 times the program duration (Statistics Canada, 2023). Furthermore, 56% of Canadian youth surveyed reported an employable skills mismatch, and youth employment reached its lowest level since February 2012.

In the United Kingdom, 2024 UK Government data shows 32% of working-age graduates were not in high-skilled employment, rising to 40% among 21–30 year olds. Graduate job openings fell to record lows, with vacancies down 45%, and apprenticeship achievement rates in construction fell to just 41%.

In the United States, underemployment—where graduates work in jobs that do not require their degrees—hit 41.2% in March 2025. Only 30% of 2025 graduates secured full-time jobs related to their degree (Cengage Group, 2025), and 48% felt unprepared to apply for entry-level positions. Unemployment among Americans aged 20–24 rose to 9.1% in April 2025, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labour Statistics.

Bar Chart showing the Global Unemployment Crisis & Graduate Skill

Mismatch across Pakistan, India, Canada, the UK, and the USA. This perfectly visualises the data presented in Section 2.] INSERT INFOGRAPHIC 1 HERE:

3. The Structural Flaw: The Theory-Practice Gap and the Forgetting Curve

The gap between theory and practice is structural, not an individual moral failing. Interns lack competencies because of the gap between university theory and applied practice.

The biggest structural flaw is the Forgetting Curve. Ebbinghaus (1885/1913) demonstrated that memory drops abruptly after initial learning; within the first 24 hours, a person may forget up to half of what they learned. Within an hour of learning new information, people may forget up to 50% of it. Without intentional application, retention declines exponentially.

Currently, there is a missing implementation space. Traditional internships occur months or years after coursework, by which time the Forgetting Curve has already eliminated most retained knowledge. The mutual relationship between intern and tutor is essential for transforming knowledge into skills, but it is absent in most models.

[INSERT INFOGRAPHIC 2 HERE: Line Graph of Ebbinghaus's Forgetting Curve showing the steep 50% knowledge loss within 24 hours, contrasted with a flat, stable line representing PIM's immediate implementation strategy.]

4. The Natural and Biological Bases of the Parental Internship Module

4.1. Biologically Primary Skills

Biologically, Primary Ability refers to knowledge or cognitive mechanisms crucial for survival and evolutionary fitness. Humans are evolutionarily and biologically primed to learn through observation, imitation, and social interaction within familial structures. Parents naturally teach biologically primary skills through daily interaction; PIM extends this capacity to biologically secondary (vocational) skills by embedding them within the same trusted relationship, which aligns with Geary's (2008) evolutionary education framework.

4.2. Social Learning Theory

Albert Bandura's Social Learning Theory states that humans learn behaviours and skills by observing others (Bandura, 1977). Most human behaviour is learned observationally through modelling. Children learn vicariously by observing role models, and the role models are often parents. PIM formalises this natural learning relationship into a structured internship.

4.3. The Neurodevelopmental Foundation

Early child development confirms interactions between brain development and environmental influences (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2015). The parent-child relationship provides the optimal environment for integrating cognitive skills, temperament, motivation, and interpersonal style, something no external supervisor can replicate.

5. PIM Phase One: The Foundational Early Childhood Practice (Ages 0–7)

Before a child can undergo a professional internship, their core personality must be secured. In modern society, we wrongly assume parenting is purely instinctual. However, parenting is a highly technical skill that requires structured practice.

According to human cognitive development theories, 75 per cent of the basic human personality, such as trust, autonomy, and self-sufficiency, develops in the first 7 years (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2015). Therefore, Phase One of the PIM mandates that parents serve as the sole primary educators for their children from birth to 7 years. Instead of sending 3-year-olds to formal schools where they lose the warmth of love and security among strangers, the PIM initiates a "Home-School contract".

Parents must attend formal child development workshops to align their training with biological development. Furthermore, they are taught economic wisdom and family budgeting, ensuring financial stress does not damage the child's emotional security (Heckman, 2006). Parents must use the "behave like a child" pedagogy, bringing

themselves down to the level of childhood to communicate securely. Professional teachers and psychiatrists visit the homes weekly to guide and monitor the parents. This foundational phase perfectly prepares the Human BIOS for the next stage of learning.

6. PIM Phase Two: Professional Work Hierarchy and Biological Skill Competency (Young Adults)

6.1. Natural Work Hierarchies

A child's first and most enduring impression of professional work comes from observing parents. Entering a parent's profession is psychologically easier because the language, culture, and norms are familiar. A 2024 study analysing intergenerational occupational mobility found that 65% of children in the lowest income decile pursue their parents' occupation. A Brazilian study found a 41.63% probability of following the parental occupational legacy. Fathers in STEM lead sons to choose STEM fields, and adolescents consistently name parents as the most influential factor in career decisions.

6.2. Biological Skill Competency

Parents transmit implicit competencies like work ethic, problem-solving, and crisis management. Children who work for the family business get more responsibility and are exposed to bigger issues. This depth and breadth of skill exposure far exceeds external internships.

7. Parental Enthusiasm, Sincerity, and Complete Time Usage

A University of Nebraska-Lincoln study showed parental involvement is key to cultivating talents. A 2023 study in *The Sport Psychologist* demonstrated that passion can engender powerful commitment to highly challenging training. Parents have lifetime accountability for their child's success, not just a 3-month evaluation period. They provide unlimited mentorship hours without overtime pay.

Furthermore, traditional internships waste time on orientation and learning basic procedures. The PIM provides zero orientation time. Children already understand the parents' workplace culture and terminology. Every hour is productive from Day 1, meaning 100% of

internship hours are devoted to skill development.

8. Revival of the Bond and Increase in Productivity

Parental internships revive parent-child bonds through a shared professional purpose. A 2025 study of 1,787 Italian family firms found that intergenerational mentoring boosts economic performance, though only 7.1% of firms use it currently. Research in Chinese family businesses found that parental mentoring plays a pivotal role in interfamily succession.

Productivity gains come from trust acceleration, immediate feedback velocity, and a risk-taking environment where children feel psychologically safe to fail and learn. A Thai study showed that working more hours in a family business increases survival probability. Apprentice competences showed a 72.1% correlation with family business sustainability in Nigeria. Meta-analyses of 54 and 76 studies confirm significant relationships between parental involvement and academic/behavioural achievement (Jeynes, 2024).

9. Employment Outcomes and the Safety Net

A program in Kenya placed youths in paid jobs and increased employment by 15%. Parental internships provide a soft landing. If the child continues, immediate employment is available. However, to prevent children from feeling trapped, the module must include structured exit options and external validation to prevent involuntary career lock-in.

10. The PIM Framework — Operational Definition and Hierarchy

PIM aligns with educational psychology because parents naturally embody mutual growth relationships. It is economically efficient, requiring zero government funding for supervision. It respects traditional knowledge transmission. Importantly, Carl Rogers identified unconditional positive regard as a core condition for growth; parents provide this naturally, which the PIM formally recognises.

10.1. Core Components

The PIM is a structured internship model supervised by a parent or a parent-nominated mentor, with formal learning objectives and external competency assessment. The components are:

1. **Primary Supervisor:** The parent provides daily guidance.
2. **Recommended Option:** If the parent lacks expertise, a trusted relative serves as mentor.
3. **Structured Curriculum & External Validation:** University tracks milestones, and a third party assesses competency.
4. **Career Exploration:** Mandatory exposure to alternative paths.

10.2. Implementation Pathway

The implementation pathway includes Phase 1 (Awareness), Phase 2 (Pilot in universities), Phase 3 (Scaling via government tax credits), and Phase 4 (Integration as the standard option).

[INSERT INFOGRAPHIC 3 HERE: Flowchart diagram illustrating the PIM Hierarchy and Operational Components. This will visually map out the roles of the Parent Supervisor, the Recommende Option, the University Curriculum, and the External Third-Party Assessment.]

11. Future Research and Conclusion

11.1. Future Research Directions

To further validate this framework, future research must focus on empirical comparative effectiveness studies that measure PIM outcomes (such as skill retention and employability) directly against traditional corporate internships. Furthermore, researchers must conduct longitudinal tracking of participants' career trajectories over a 5-to-10-year period to observe long-term financial independence and professional success.

11.2. Conclusion

A logical analysis of global data proves that the contemporary internship crisis is a profound structural failure, not an individual fault of the students. The empirical evidence is clear: current educational models are failing. This failure is mathematically demonstrated by high graduate unemployment rates, such as 31% in Pakistan and 44.5% among Indian youth, alongside

massive skills gaps where only 42.6% of Indian graduates are considered job-ready (Mercer | Mettl, 2025; Pakistan Institute of Development Economics [PIDE], 2024).

Logically, this widespread failure is caused by the unaddressed "Forgetting Curve." Science proves that without immediate practical application, a human being loses up to 50% of new knowledge within 24 hours (Ebbinghaus, 1885/1913). Therefore, the current educational system operates on a flawed premise: it extracts years of tuition and time, yet delivers graduates who cannot practically perform in the economy.

In contrast, the Parental Internship Module (PIM) provides a reason-based, highly efficient, and scalable alternative. It solves the structural flaw by grounding professional internships in natural biological learning systems and observational modelling (Bandura, 1977). Instead of sending students to strangers, PIM logically utilises existing natural work hierarchies—where intergenerational occupational inheritance naturally reaches up to 65%—and capitalises on the unmatched, lifelong dedication of parents.

Ultimately, PIM restores the natural, historical learning relationship that has successfully transmitted human skills across thousands of years of civilisation. The logical conclusion is not whether PIM will work, but rather how quickly educational institutions will recognise and integrate the profound pedagogical power that parents have always possessed.

12. References

- Aalbers, M. B. (2016). *The financialization of housing: A political economy approach*. Routledge.
- Alam, S. A. (2020). An Apparition of an innovative electoral system for Islamic democracy: A Religio-political study for the Islamic Republic of Pakistan. *Ihya-al-Ulum*, 20(2), 1-12.
- Alam, S. A. (2021). *Alam's Educational Framework: An innovation for the future generation*. BWO Research International.
- Alam, S. A. (2023). *Complete Physical Business Plan: Alam Happy Town (AHT)*. BWO Research International.
- Alam, S. A., & Tariq, H. (2021). *Alam's Finance System (AFS): The fastest sustainability from an Islamic*

- perspective. Fatima Jinnah Women's University.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Brauch, H. G., Spring, U. O., Mesjasz, C., Grin, J., Kameri-Mbote, P., Chourou, B., Dunay, P., & Birkmann, J. (Eds.). (2011). *Coping with global environmental change, disasters and security*. Springer. Canada
- Mortgage and Housing Corporation. (2023). *Housing shortages in Canada: Updating how much housing we need by 2030*. Government of Canada.
- Caplan, A. L. (1998). *Am I my brother's keeper?* Indiana University Press. Cengage Group. (2025). *2025 Employability Report*.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory*. Oxford University Press.
- Downs, C., Mughal, F., Shah, U., & Ryder, M. (2024). Are undergraduate internships worth the effort? Time to reconceptualise work-based learning for building protean meta-competencies. *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(1), 84–97.
- Dunbar, R. I. M. (1992). Neocortex size as a constraint on group size in primates. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 22(6), 469–493.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
- Ebbinghaus, H. (1885/1913). *Memory: A contribution to experimental psychology*. Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Ewing, R., & Cervero, R. (2010). Travel and the built environment: A meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 76(3), 265–294.
- Fields, D. (2017). Unwilling subjects of financialization. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 41(4), 588–603.
- Geary, D. C. (2008). An evolutionarily informed education science. *Educational Psychologist*, 43(4), 179–195.
- Green, B. (2019). *The smart enough city*. MIT Press.
- Heckman, J. J. (2006). Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science*, 312(5782), 1900–1902.
- Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J. D., De Neve, J.-E., Aknin, L. B., & Wang, S. (2024). *World Happiness Report 2024*. Sustainable Development Solutions Network.
- Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227–237.
- Honneth, A. (1995). *The struggle for recognition: The moral grammar of social conflicts*. Polity Press.
- International Labour Organisation. (2024). *Global Employment Trends for Youth 2024*. ILO.
- Jacobs, J. (1961). *The death and life of great American cities*. Random House.
- Jeynes, W. H. (2024). A meta-analysis: The relationship between the parental expectations component of parental involvement with students' academic achievement. *Urban Education*, 59(1), 63–95.
- Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The general theory of employment, interest, and money*.
- Macmillan. Kitchin, R. (2014). The real-time city? Big data and smart urbanism. *GeoJournal*, 79(1), 1–14.
- Klinenberg, E. (2018). *Palaces for the people*. Crown.
- Leminen, S. (2015). Living labs as open-innovation networks. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 5(9), 6–11.
- Levinson, M. (2012). *No citizen left behind*. Harvard University Press.
- MacIntyre, A. (1981). *After virtue: A study in moral theory*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Mandala, R. S. K., & Nayaka, R. R. (2025). A state-of-the-art review on time, cost and sustainable benefits of modern construction techniques for affordable housing. *Construction Innovation*, 25(2), 363–380.
- Marmot, M., Allen, J., Boyce, T., Goldblatt, P., & Morrison, J. (2020). *Health equity in England: The Marmot review 10 years on*. Institute of Health Equity.
- Mercer | Mettl. (2025). *India Graduate Skill Index 2025*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. (2025). *Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) Annual Report 2025*.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2015). *Transforming the workforce for children birth through age 8: A unifying foundation*. National Academies Press.
- Nestle, M. (2013). *Food politics: How the food industry influences nutrition and health*. University of California Press.
- OECD. (2020). *How's life? 2020: Measuring well-being*. OECD Publishing.
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*.
- Cambridge University Press. Oxfam. (2024). *Inequality Inc*. Oxfam International.
- Pakistan Institute of Development Economics. (2024). *Youth unemployment report*.
- Peilin, L., & Wang, X. (2016). *Ecological migration, development and transformation*. Springer.
- Portes, A., & DeWind, J. (2007). *Rethinking migration: New theoretical and empirical perspectives*. Berghahn Books.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*.
- Simon & Schuster. Smil, V. (2001). *Feeding the world: A challenge for the twenty-first century*. MIT Press.
- Smolova, M., & Smolova, D. (2021). Emergency architecture: Modular construction of healthcare facilities as a response to pandemic outbreak. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 274, 01013.
- Statistics Canada. (2023). *Educational pathways of individuals who discontinue their apprenticeship programs (Catalogue No. 81-595-M)*.
- Steffen, W., Grinevald, J., Crutzen, P., & McNeill, J. (2011). The Anthropocene: Conceptual and historical perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 369(1938), 842–867.
- Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). *Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress*. Eurostat.
- Taylor, C. (1989). *Sources of the self: The making of the modern identity*. Harvard University Press.
- UN-Habitat. (2020). *World cities report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanisation*. United Nations Human Settlements Programme.
- Voytenko, Y., McCormick, K., Evans, J., & Schliwa, G. (2016). Urban living labs for sustainability and low-carbon cities in Europe: Towards a research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 123, 45–54.
- World Health Organisation [WHO]. (2021). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. WHO.